Planning Library Services in Vinayaka Mission's University, Salem.

A.P.Shanmugam formerly known as
P.Shanmugamoorthy
Librarian
Thiruvalluvar University College of Arts and Science College,
Thiruvennainallur,Thirukovilur Tk, Villupuram Dt

Abstract

The study indicates Planning Library Service in Vinayaka Mission's University. A survey was carried out among the users, along with observation at the Professional College Libraries in Vinayaka Mission's University. Higher education institutions started subscribing to electronic resources to meet the users' requirements and expectations. In this survey, 59 percent of the respondents have good regard about bibliography services and facilities in their libraries, 26.80 percent of them feel it as not bad and the rest 14.20 percent of them consider it as bad. The majority of the students have good opinion about the bibliography services and facilities provided in their libraries.

Keywords

E-Resources and Services, Vinayaka Mission's University Professional Colleges Libraries
.

Electronic access

The journal is available at www.jalis.in

Journal of Advances in Library and Information Science
ISSN: 2277-2219 Vol. 5. No.2. 2016. pp.149-156

INTRODUCTION

Performance evaluation of a library and information system or its components can be required for different reasons. For example, the evaluation of the performance of the library and information systems and the resulting data could be used to assess how well the system meets its objectives or for justification of continuance of a service. It can be used to convince institutions that the library needs the same relative share of institutional budget, even if the budget itself is shrinking. It may allow a librarian to demonstrate how one's library stands in relation to others. It can help the librarian to describe the extent, range and importance of the service being provided and that it is being given efficiently. .

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The following are the important objectives of the study:

1. To examine the total collection of study materials in the selected professional colleges.
2. To examine the growth and adequacy of library collections in the selected professional college libraries.
3. To analyse the cost of library services on the basis of benefit-cost approach in the selected colleges.
4. To measure the performance efficiency of library collections on the basis of Homburg's model in the selected professional colleges
5. To analyse the available and accessible facilities and services in the professional college libraries.
6. To find out the physical working conditions in the selected professional college libraries.
7. To identify the type of library users in different colleges in the study area.
8. To examine the proportion of budget expenditure on various aspects of library services.
9. To analyse the frequency and duration of library visits by different types of users.
10. To ascertain the effectiveness of library services and facilities from the point of view of different types of users.
11. To find out the problems and defects in the existing system of functioning of professional college libraries in the study area.
12. To suggest some rational measures to improve the functioning of professional college libraries.

METHODOLOGY

The present study aims at evaluating the performance and effectiveness of professional college libraries affiliated to Vinayaka Mission's University, Salem, Tamil Nadu state, India. This study is undertaken

from two aspects. The first aspect of view is evaluation of performance of library services and facilities and it could be judged from library personnel. The next aspect of view is assessing the performance of library services and facilities from the points of view of the users. Hence, it is primarily an evaluatory study. However, the effectiveness of library services is anlysed with the socio-economic background of the users. Thus, it gives an analytical orientation to this study. In general, the design of this study comes under evaluatory cum analytical method.

SAMPLING

Sampling was done in two ways. The first one is selection of professional colleges affiliated to Vinayaka Mission's University and the second one is that of user respondents. Vinayaka Mission has its 16 affiliated professional colleges among them there are 10 colleges selected by adopting simple random sampling method. In order to study the effectiveness of library services the user respondents were selected. From each college 50 user respondents were selected by adopting purposive random sampling method. So totally 500 user respondents were selected from the 10 colleges.

DATA COLLECTION

In order to collect primary data from the study units, the author has employed two different types of questionnaires. A library survey was conducted by visiting all the 10 professional college libraries. The relevant data were obtained from the library personnel by adopting well structured questionnaire method. The questionnaires were circulated to the library personnel and thereby relevant data are obtained. The effectiveness of library services is affected by collecting data from the user respondents with the help of questionnaire.

DATA ANALYSIS

The collected data have been coded in the computer programme, through which they have been classified and tabulated. Further, cross tabulation is made focusing on socio-economic background of the users and their views on the effectiveness of library services. Exponential growth model is applied to study the trends in the growth of library collection among the study units. It is written as antilog of coefficient-1 (100)To study inter-college variation with respect to total library collection, possession of various types of library materials and budget expenditure, the ANOVA two-way model is applied. The chi-square test is applied to study the association between user respondents' socio-economic background and their views on the effectiveness of library services and facilities. It is also applied to study the frequency and duration of library visits and utility of library services.

ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Table 1. College Wise Respondents View On Library Services And Facilities

S.No	Name of the College	Physical Facilities			Arrangement of Books and Other Materials			Reference Service		
		Good	Not Bad	Bad	Good	Not Bad	Bad	Good	Not Bad	Bad
1	Vinayaka Mission's College of Pharmacy	32 (64)	8 (16)	10 (20)	26 (52)	12 (24)	12 (24)	25 (50)	18 (34)	7 (14)
2	Vinayaka Mission's Sankaracharyar Dental College	22 (44)	10 (20)	4 (8)	30 (60)	14 (28)	6 (12)	27 (54)	19 (38)	4 (8)
3	Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Variyar Engineering College	37 (74)	10 (20)	3 (6)	36 (72)	10 (20)	4 (8)	37 (74)	11 (22)	2 (4)
4	Vinayaka Mission's Annapoorana College of Nursing	22 (44)	16 (32)	12 (24)	22 (44)	20 (40)	8 (16)	18 (36)	17 (34)	15 (30)
5	Vinayaka Mission's College Physiotherapy	23 (46)	17 (34)	10 (20)	20 (40)	19 (38)	11 (22)	19 (38)	19 (38)	12 (24)
6	Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Variyar Medical College	38 (76)	8 (16)	4 (8)	35 (70)	12 (24)	3 (6)	27 (54)	15 (30)	8 (16)
7	Vinayaka Mission's Medical College, Karaikal	32 (64)	12 (24)	6 (12)	34 (68)	10 (20)	6 (12)	22 (44)	18 (36)	10 (20)
8	Aarupadai Vedu Institute of Technology	36 (72)	12 (24)	16 (32)	22 (44)	10 (20)	18 (36)	20 (40)	18 (36)	12 (24)
9	Vinayaka Mission's Homoeopathic Medical College	22 (44)	18 (36)	10 (20)	21 (42)	17 (34)	12 (24)	22 (44)	16 (32)	12 (24)

10	Aarupadai Vedu Medical College	32 (64)	10 (20)	8 (16)	30 (60)	12 (24)	8 (16)	20 (40)	18 (36)	12 (24)
TOTAL		**296 (59.2)**	**121 (24.2)**	**83 (16.6)**	**276 (55.2)**	**136 (27.2)**	**88 (17.6)**	**237 (47.4)**	**169 (33.8)**	**94 (18.8)**

Cont…

S.No	Name of the College	User Education			List of Addition			SDI Services		
		Good	Not Bad	Bad	Good	Not Bad	Bad	Good	Not Bad	Bad
1	Vinayaka Mission's College of Pharmacy	30 (60)	12 (24)	8 (16)	26 (52)	14 (28)	10 (20)	20 (40)	10 (20)	20 (40)
2	Vinayaka Mission's Sankaracharyar Dental College	35 (70)	10 (20)	5 (10)	20 (40)	12 (24)	8 (16)	22 (44)	12 (24)	16 (32)
3	Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Variyar Engineering College	39 (78)	8 (16)	3 (6)	33 (66)	12 (24)	5 (10)	26 (52)	12 (24)	12 (24)
4	Vinayaka Mission's Annapoorana College of Nursing	25 (50)	15 (30)	10 (20)	20 (40)	15 (30)	15 (30)	10 (20)	18 (36)	22 (44)
5	Vinayaka Mission's College Physiotherapy	19 (38)	18 (36)	13 (26)	23 (46)	17 (34)	10 (20)	11 (22)	18 (36)	21 (42)
6	Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Variyar Medical College	30 (60)	14 (28)	6 (12)	20 (40)	18 (36)	12 (24)	19 (38)	11 (22)	20 (40)
7	Vinayaka Mission's Medical College, Karaikal	32 (64)	12 (24)	6 (12)	20 (40)	15 (30)	15 (30)	16 (32)	8 (16)	26 (52)
8	Aarupadai Vedu Institute of Technology	20 (40)	15 (30)	15 (30)	20 (40)	18 (36)	12 (24)	26 (52)	16 (32)	8 (16)
9	Vinayaka Mission's Homoeopathic Medical College	30 (60)	12 (24)	8 (16)	23 (46)	17 (34)	10 (20)	30 (60)	12 (24)	8 (16)
10	Aarupadai Vedu Medical College	38 (76)	8 (16)	4 (8)	30 (60)	18 (36)	12 (24)	22 (44)	24 (12)	4 (8)
TOTAL		**298 (59.6)**	**124 (24.8)**	**78 (15.8)**	**235 (47.0)**	**156 (31.2)**	**109 (21.8)**	**202 (40.4)**	**141 (28.2)**	**157 (31.4)**

Cont…

S.No	Name of the College	Reprographic Services			Internet Services			Bibliography Services		
		Good	Not Bad	Bad	Good	Not Bad	Bad	Good	Not Bad	Bad
1	Vinayaka Mission's College of Pharmacy	26 (52)	14 (28)	10 (20)	10 (20)	22 (44)	18 (36)	12 (24)	18 (36)	20 (40)
2	Vinayaka Mission's Sankaracharyar Dental College	30 (60)	12 (24)	8 (16)	15 (30)	20 (40)	15 (30)	10 (20)	26 (52)	14 (28)
3	Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Variyar Engineering College	36 (72)	10 (20)	4 (8)	16 (32)	9 (18)	25 (50)	29 (58)	14 (28)	7 (14)
4	Vinayaka Mission's Annapoorana College of Nursing	12 (24)	8 (16)	30 (60)	6 (12)	12 (24)	32 (64)	10 (20)	18 (36)	22 (44)
5	Vinayaka Mission's College Physiotherapy	16 (32)	8 (16)	26 (52)	14 (28)	15 (30)	21 (42)	18 (36)	11 (22)	21 (42)
6	Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Variyar Medical College	20 (40)	10 (20)	20 (40)	8 (16)	10 (20)	32 (64)	11 (22)	19 (38)	20 (40)
7	Vinayaka Mission's Medical College, Karaikal	8 (16)	12 (24)	30 (60)	11 (22)	18 (36)	21 (42)	8 (16)	16 (32)	26 (52)
8	Aarupadai Vedu Institute of Technology	16 (32)	10 (20)	24 (48)	13 (26)	12 (24)	25 (50)	16 (32)	20 (40)	14 (28)
9	Vinayaka Mission's Homoeopathic Medical College	12 (24)	10 (20)	28 (56)	8 (16)	10 (20)	32 (64)	12 (24)	8 (16)	30 (60)

10	Aarupadai Vedu Medical College	10 (20)	18 (36)	22 (44)	10 (20)	18 (36)	22 (44)	4 (8)	12 (24)	34 (68)
TOTAL		**186 (37.2)**	**112 (22.4)**	**202 (40.4)**	**111 (22.2)**	**146 (29.2)**	**243 (48.6)**	**130 (26)**	**162 (32.4)**	**208 (41.6)**

(Figures in Parentheses denote Percentage)

Data presentation in table 1 Status wise respondents view on library services and facilities. It could be observed that out of the total 500 respondents, 49 percent of them have good opinion about the physical facilities in their libraries, 32 percent say it is not bad and the rest of them feels as bad. The majority of the teaching staffs have food opinion about the physical facilities provided in their libraries. Out of the total 500 respondents, 53.80 percent of them have good opinion about the arrangement of books and other materials in their libraries, 30.60 percent say it is not bad and the rest of them feels as bad. The majority of the teaching staffs have good opinion about the arrangement of books and other materials provided in their libraries. Among the total 500 surveyed respondents, 67.20 percent of them feel good performance about the reference the services in their college libraries, 22 percent of them regard it as not bad and the rest 10.80 percent of them consider it as bad. The majority of the teaching staffs and students have good opinion about the reference services provided in their libraries. In this survey 48.20 percent of the respondents have good regard about user education services and facilities, 31.80 percent say it is not bad and the rest of them feel as bad. The majority of the teaching staffs have good opinion about the user education services and facilities provided in their libraries. Out of the total 500 surveyed, 52 percent of them have good opinion about the list of addition in their libraries, 28.60 percent say it is not bad and the rest of them feel as bad. The majority of the teaching staffs have good opinion about the list of addition services in their libraries.Among the total surveyed respondents, 62.60 percent of them have good regard about SDI services and facilities, 25.20 percent say it is not bad and 12.2 percent of them feel it as bad. The good opinion is seen mostly among the teaching respondents.Out of the total 500 surveyed respondents, 46 percent of them have good regard about reprographic services and facilities, 32.80 percent of them regard it as hot bad but the rest 21.20 percent consider it as bad. The majority of the teaching staffs have good opinion about the reprographic services and facilities provided in their libraries.Among the total 500 surveyed respondents, 49.6 percent of them feel good performance of internet services in their libraries, 28.20 percent of them regard it as not bad and the rest 22.20 percent of them consider it as bad. The majority of the students have good opinion about the internet services and facilities provided in their libraries.In this survey, 59 percent of the respondents have good regard about bibliography services and facilities in their libraries, 26.80 percent of them feel it as not bad and the rest 14.20 percent of them consider it as bad. The majority of the students have good opinion about the bibliography services and facilities provided in their libraries.

Table 2: Status Wise Respondents View on Library Services and Facilities

Status	**Physical Facilities**			**Arrangement of Books and Other Materials**			**Reference Services**		
	Good	**Not Bad**	**Bad**	**Good**	**Not Bad**	**Bad**	**Good**	**Not Bad**	**Bad**
Research Scholar	30 (50.84)	20 (33.89)	9 (15.25)	25 (42.37)	28 (47.45)	6 (10.16)	31 (52.54)	20 (33.89)	8 (13.55)
Teaching Staff	90 (58.44)	40 (25.97)	24 (15.58)	100 (64.93)	40 (25.97)	14 (9.09)	110 (71.42)	30 (19.48)	14 (9.09)
Student	110 (43.13)	90 (35.29)	55 (21.56)	125 (49.00)	75 (29.41)	55 (21.56)	180 (70.58)	50 (19.60)	25 (9.80)
Others Staff	15 (46.87)	10 (31.25)	7 (21.87)	19 (59.37)	10 (31.25)	3 (9.37)	15 (46.87)	10 (31.25)	7 (21.87)
TOTAL	**245 (49.00)**	**160 (32.00)**	**95 (19.00)**	**269 (53.80)**	**153 (30.60)**	**78 (15.60)**	**336 (67.20)**	**110 (22.00)**	**54 (10.80)**

Cont…

Status	**User Education**			**List of Addition**			**SDI Services**		
	Good	**Not Bad**	**Bad**	**Good**	**Not Bad**	**Bad**	**Good**	**Not Bad**	**Bad**
Research Scholar	32 (54.23)	17 (28.81)	10 (16.94)	23 (38.98)	27 (45.76)	9 (1015.25)	27 (45.76)	22 (37.28)	10 (16.94)

Teaching Staff	88 (57.14)	42 (29.27)	24 (15.58)	98 (63.63)	32 (20.77)	24 (15.58)	108 (70.12)	28 (18.18)	18 (11.68)
Student	108 (42.35)	89 (34.90)	58 (22.74)	122 (47.80)	75 (29.41)	58 (22.74)	165 (64.70)	66 (25.88)	24 (9.41)
Others Staff	13 (40.62)	11 (34.37)	8 (25)	17 (53.12)	9 (28.12)	6 (18.75)	13 (40.62)	10 (31.25)	9 (28.12)
TOTAL	**241 (48.20)**	**159 (31.80)**	**100 (20.00)**	**260 (52.00)**	**143 (28.60)**	**97 (19.40)**	**313 (62.60)**	**126 (25.20)**	**61 (12.2)**

Cont…

Status	**Reprographic Services**			**Internet Services**			**Bibliography Services**		
	Good	**Not Bad**	**Bad**	**Good**	**Not Bad**	**Bad**	**Good**	**Not Bad**	**Bad**
Research Scholar	30 (50.80)	18 (30.50)	11 (18.60)	22 (37.20)	25 (42.30)	12 (20.33)	25 (42.50)	20 (33.89)	14 (23.72)
Teaching Staff	85 (55.19)	43 (27.92)	26 (5.20)	94 (18.80)	33 (6.60)	27 (5.40)	103 (20.60)	32 (64.00)	19 (3.80)
Student	103 (40.39)	93 (18.60)	59 (23.13)	120 (47.00)	72 (28.20)	63 (24.70)	154 (60.39)	72 (28.23)	29 (11.37)
Others Staff	12 (37.50)	10 (31.25)	10 (31.25)	12 (37.50)	11 (34.37)	9 (28.12)	13 (40.62)	10 (31.25)	9 (28.12)
TOTAL	**230 (46.00)**	**164 (32.80)**	**106 (21.20)**	**248 (49.6)**	**141 (28.20)**	**111 (22.20)**	**295 (59.00)**	**134 (26.80)**	**71 (14.20)**

(Figures in Parentheses denote Percentage)

Table 3:College wise Respondents' duration of library utilization

Sl. No	**Name of the College**	**< 6 month**	**6mon– 1 year**	**1- 2 years**	**2 - 5 years**	**Above 5 years**	**Total**
1	Vinayaka Mission's College of Pharmacy	3(6)	5(10)	9(18)	11(22)	22(44)	50
2	Vinayaka Mission's Sankaracharyar Dental College	4(8)	7(14)	8(16)	13(26)	18(36)	50
3	Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Variyar Engineering College	2(4)	9(18)	9(18)	13(26)	17(34)	50
4	Vinayaka Mission's Annapoorana College of Nursing	4(8)	8(16)	9(18)	12(24)	17(34)	50
5	Vinayaka Mission's College of Physiotherapy	3(6)	7(14)	10(20)	15(30)	15(30)	50
6	Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Variyar Medical College	6(12)	9(18)	11(22)	12(24)	12(24)	50
7	Vinayaka Mission's Medical College	7(14)	6(12)	6(12)	13(26)	18(36)	50
8	Aarupadai Vedu Institute of Technology	4(8)	5(10)	7(14)	14(28)	20(40)	50
9	Vinayaka Mission's Homoeopathic Medical College	3(6)	9(18)	8(16)	15(30)	15(30)	50
10	Aarupadai Vedu Medical College	8(16)	7(14)	6(12)	10(20)	19(38)	50
	Total	**44(8.80)**	**72(14.40)**	**83(16.60)**	**128(25.60)**	**173(34.60)**	**500**

(Figures in Parentheses denote Percentage)

A study of data in table 3 indicates the college wise respondent's duration of library utilization. It could be noted that out of the total 500 respondents, 8.80 per cent of them utilized six months in their own library, 14.40 per cent of them utilized 6 months to one year; 16.60 per cent of them utilized 1-2 years in their own library, 25.60 per cent of them utilized 2-5 years in their own library and 34.60 per cent of them utilized above 5 years in their own library. The college wise analysis reveals the following facts. Majority of the respondents of Vinayaka Mission's' college of pharmacy, (44.00%), Aarupadaivedu Medical College (38.00%), Vinayaka Mission's Sankaracharyar Dental College (36.00%) and Vinayaka Mission's Medical college (36.00%) utilized above five years in their own library. It could be seen clearly from the above discussion that respondent's above five years of utilization is their own library occupy the first position followed by 2-5 years utilization, 1-2 years utilization,

6 month – one year utilization and below 6 month utilization.

Table 4: Status wise Respondents' duration of library utilization

Sl. No	Status	< 6 month	6mon– 1 year	1- 2 years	2 - 5 years	Above 5 years	Total
1	Research Scholars	8(13.56)	9(15.25)	7(11.86)	19(32.21)	16(27.12)	59
2	Teachers	9(5.84)	19(12.34)	26(16.88)	39 (25.33)	61(39.61)	154
3	Students	11(4.31)	39 (15.30)	46(18.03)	65(25.50)	94(36.86)	255
4	Others	16(50.00)	5(15.62)	4(12.50)	5(15.62)	2(6.26)	32
	Total	**44(8.80)**	**72(14.40)**	**83(16.60)**	**128(25.60)**	**173(34.60)**	**500**

(Figures in Parentheses denote Percentage)

A study of data in table 4 indicates the status wise respondents duration of library utilization. The status wise analysis reveals the following facts. Majority of the teacher respondents (39.61%) utilized above 5 years in their own library. A considerable number of other respondents (50.00%) utilized below six months in their own library. It is significant to note that a considerable number of Research scholar respondents (32.21%) utilized 2-5 years in their own library.It could be seen clearly from the above discussion that the teacher respondents have utilized more in their own library.

Table 5: College wise Respondents' Quantum of time utilization pattern in libraries of the selected colleges

Sl. No	Name of the College	Less than 4 hours per week	4-6 hours per week	7-10 hours per week	11-15 hours per week	Total
1	Vinayaka Mission's College of Pharmacy	3(6)	16(32)	19(38)	12(24)	50
2	Vinayaka Mission's Sankaracharyar Dental College	10(20)	14(28)	16(32)	10(20)	50
3	Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Varyar Engineering College	8(16)	14(28)	15(30)	13(26)	50
4	Vinayaka Mission's Annapoorana College of Nursing	7(14)	12(24)	17(34)	14(28)	50
5	Vinayaka Mission's College of Physiotherapy	10(20)	13(26)	15(30)	12(24)	50
6	Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Variyar Medical College	7(14)	19(38)	13(26)	11(22)	50
7	Vinayaka Mission's Medical College	11(22)	13(26)	10(20)	16(32)	50
8	Aarupadai Vedu Institute of Technology	10(20)	11(22)	16(32)	13(26)	50
9	Vinayaka Mission's Homoeopathic Medical College	9(18)	12(24)	16(32)	13(26)	50
10	Aarupadai Vedu Medical College	11(22)	15(30)	11(22)	13(26)	50
	Total	**86(17.20)**	**139(27.80)**	**148(29.60)**	**127(25.40)**	**500**

(Figures in Parentheses denote Percentage)

Data presented in table 5 indicates the college wise respondents quantum of time utilization in their libraries. It could be noted that out of the total 500 respondents, 17.20 percent of them spend less than 4 hours per week. The respondents of Vinayaka Mission's Medical College(22.00%), Aarupadai Vedu Medical college and Hospital (22.00%), spend less than 4 hours per week in search of library materials. Out of the total respondents, 27.80 percent of them spend 4-6 hours per week in their libraries. This type of habit is mainly observed among the respondents of Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Varyar Medical College (38.00%) and Vinayaka Mission's college of Pharmacy (32.00%).Out of total respondents, 29.60 percent of them spend about 7-10 hours per week in their libraries. Moreover 25.40 percent of the total respondents spend 11-15 hours per week in their libraries. It could be seen clearly from the above discussion that 7-10 hours of time utilization per week takes the first in order of reporting, 4-6 hours per week the second, 11-15 hours per week the third and less than 4 hours per week the last.

Table 6: Status wise Respondents' Quantum of time utilization in libraries of the selected colleges

Sl. No	Status	Less than 4 hours per week	4-6 hours per week	7-10 hours per week	11-15 hours per week	Total
1	ResearchScholars	9(15.25)	17(28.81)	18(30.51)	15(25.43)	59
2	Teachers	21(13.64)	41(26.62)	54(35.06)	38(24.68)	154
3	Students	52(20.40)	72(28.23)	65(25.50)	66(25.87)	255
4	Others	4(12.50)	9(28.12)	11(34.38)	8(25.00)	32
	Total	**86(17.20)**	**139(27.80)**	**148(29.60)**	**127(25.40)**	**500**

(Figures in Parentheses denote Percentage)

Table 6 presents data on the status wise respondents quantum of time utilization per week in their libraries. It could be noted that 30.51 percent of the research scholar respondents spend 7-10 hours per seek, 35.06 percent of the teacher respondents spend 7-10 hours per week in their libraries; 28.23 percent of the student respondents spend 4-6 hours per week in their libraries; 34.38 percent of other respondents spend 7-10 hours per week in their libraries. It could be seen clearly from the above discussion that teachers relatively spend more time on availing the benefits of library services and facilities than that of research scholars, students and others.

Table 7: College wise Respondents' Request to Librarian and its Reaction.

Sl. No	Name of the College	Request to acquire specific publication		Response of the Librarian			
		Yes	No	Satisfactory	Neither satisfactory nor Dissatisfactory	Dissatisfactory	Total
1	Vinayaka Mission's College of Pharmacy	37(74)	13(26)	16(32)	12(24)	9(18)	37
2	Vinayaka Mission's Sankaracharyar Dental College	39(78)	11(22)	15(30)	17(34)	7(14)	39
3	Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Variyar Engineering College	35(70)	15(30)	12(24)	16(32)	7(14)	35
4	Vinayaka Mission's Annapoorana College of Nursing	31(62)	19(38)	10(20)	15(30)	6(12)	31
5	Vinayaka Mission's College of Physiotherapy	28(56)	22 (44)	8(16)	13(26)	7(14)	28
6	Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Variyar Medical College	37(74)	13(26)	17(34)	15(30)	5(10)	37
7	Vinayaka Mission's Medical College	30(60)	20(40)	8(16)	14(28)	8(16)	30
8	Aarupadai Vedu Institute of Technology	29(58)	21(42)	9(18)	8(16)	12(24)	29
9	Vinayaka Mission's Homoeopathic Medical College	32(64)	18(36)	7(14)	17(34)	8(16)	32
10	Aarupadai Vedu Medical College	28(56)	22(44)	8(16)	12(24)	8(16)	28
	Total	**326(65.20)**	**174(34.80)**	**110(22.00)**	**139(27.80)**	**77(15.40)**	**326**

(Figures in Parentheses denote Percentage)

A study of data in table 7 indicates college wise respondents' obligation to librarian and his reaction. It could be seen that out of the 500 respondents' 65.20 percent of them have requested the librarian to acquire specific publications but the rest of them did not approach the librarian. The college wise analysis reveals that respondents of Vinayaka Mission's' college of Physiotherapy (56.00%), Vinayaka Mission's' Medical College (60.00%) and Aarupadaivedu Medical College (56.00%) lag behind others in their request to librarians to acquire specific publications, whereas majority of the respondents in the remaining colleges have made special request to acquire specific publications. The analysis of response of the librarian with respect to user's obligation reveals the following facts; Out of 326 respondents, 22.00 percent of them feel over satisfaction about the reaction of the librarians. This view draws less attention among the requested respondents of Vinayaka Mission's' Homoeopathic Medical Colleges.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

The findings on the college wise and status wise respondents views on library facilities and services reveal the following facts, Vinayaka Mission's

Kirupananda Veriyar Medical College and Aarupadai Vedu Institute of Technology the have good library facilities and services according to the views of majority of the respondents. The findings of the cost analysis of library services in Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Variyar Engineering college and Vinayaka Mission's Annapoorana College of Nursing bring out the fact that the cost per circulation and cost per reference services shows increasing trend. The findings of the Measuring the Recall value of the library collections reveal the following fact that among the colleges Kirupananda Variyar Medical College occupies the first position (54.68%) in terms of recall value of the library collection. Aarupadai Vedu Medical College occupies the last position (40.13%) in terms of recall value of the library collection. The findings of the statuswise respondents' frequency of library visit bring out the fact that the majority of the students (42.74%) make daily visits to library followed by research scholars (33.90%), teachers (26.62%) and others (21.87%) The findings of the Collegewise respondents' purpose of library visit reveal the following fact that the majority of the respondents (51.20%)visit to the library for academic reading followed by general reading (28.60%) and general and recreational reading (20.20%) The findings of the statuswise respondents' purpose of library visit bring out the fact that the majority of the student respondents (55.69) teacher respondents (52.60) percent and research scholar respondents (44.06) visit to the library for academic reading. The majority of the other respondents (50.00) percent visit to the library for general and recreational reading. The findings of collegewise respondents' frequency of library visit reveal fact that respondent's library visit daily occupies the first position followed by twice in a week, occasional library visit and once in a week. The respondents of Aarupadaivedu Medical College, Vinayaka Mission's Medical college and Vinayaka Mission's College of Physiotherapy have low frequency of library visit The findings of the College wise respondents' duration of library utilization bring out the fact that the respondent's above five years of utilization is their own library occupy the first position followed by 2-5 years utilization, 1-2 years utilization, 6 month – one year utilization and below 6 month utilization The findings of the statuswise respondents' duration of library utilization reveal the following fact that, among the respondents the teacher respondents have utilized more in their own library.

REFERENCES

[1]. Griffiths, Jose' Marie and King Donald W.A, "Manual on the Evaluation of Information centres and services", AGARD,New York,1991.

[2]. Hawks, C.P.(1992) Collection assessment and acquisition budgets: Highlights of a conference. *Library Acquisitions:Practice and theory*, 16(4):431.

[3]. Helen E. Chandler.(1987). Library Tuition Programmes: A small scale study from an organizational perspective. *Journal Librarianship*,19(3):173-197.

[4]. Helen M. Gothberg. (1990).The Library Survey: A research methodology rediscovered. *College and research Libraries*, 51(6): 553-559.

[5]. William W. Currie. (1989). Evaluating the collection of a two year branch campus by using text book citations. *Community and Junior College Libraries*,6 920: 75-79.

[6]. Williams, J.J. (1995). Technology and Library Organisational structure. *Community and Junior college Libraries*,8(1): 93-101.

[7]. Anthony Arthur. (1986). Collection Management-an Australian project. Australian academic and Research Libraries,17(10: 29-38.

[8]. Bruce C. Bennion. (1978). The use of standard selection in undergraduate library collection development. *Collection Management*,2(2): 141-152.

[9]. Charless R McClure. (1978). The Planning Processes:Strategies for action, *College and Research Libraries.*39 (2):123-129.

[10]. Cherry, J.M., Clinton, M. and Yuan, W.(1994). Evaluating the effectiveness of a concept-based computer tutorial for OPAC users. *College and Research Libraries*, 55(4):355-36